

# **AUSTRALIAN BEVERAGES COUNCIL**

**Submission for Application A1183 – Enzymatic production of  
Rebaudioside E from stevia leaf extract**

**23<sup>rd</sup> January 2020**



## About the Australian Beverages Council Limited

The Australian Beverages Council Limited [ABCL] has been the leading peak body representing the non-alcoholic beverage industry for more than 70 years, and the only dedicated industry representative of its kind in Australia.

The ABCL represents approximately 90 per cent of the non-alcoholic beverage industry's production volume and our Member companies are some of Australia's largest drinks manufacturers. The ABCL also represents many small and medium-sized companies across the country. Collectively, the ABCL's Members contribute more than \$7 billion to the Australian economy and they employ over 50,000 people across the nation. The industry also pays \$1.2 billion in taxes per annum and for every one direct employee who works in the beverage manufacturing industry, there are 4.9 jobs required elsewhere in the economy to produce and retail beverages.

The ABCL strives to advance the industry as a whole, as well as successfully representing the range of beverages produced by our Members. These include carbonated soft drinks, energy drinks, sports and electrolyte drinks, frozen drinks, bottled and packaged waters, 100 per cent juice and fruit drinks, cordials, iced teas, ready-to-drink coffees, flavoured milk products and flavoured plant milks.

The unified voice of the ABCL offers Members a presence beyond individual representation to promote fairness in the standards, regulations, and policies concerning non-alcoholic beverages. The ABCL plays a role in educating consumers on making informed choices which encourage balance, moderation and common sense.

The ABCL advocates on issues such as portion sizes, environmental sustainability, nutritional labelling, responsible industry marketing and advertising, and canteen guidelines, among others. Our Members listen to consumers and adapt their products accordingly by making positive changes and standing by a commitment to promote greater choice, appropriate portions and by developing an ever increasing range of low and no kilojoule products.

The ABCL is an important conduit between the non-alcoholic beverage industry and governments, supporting the Australian Government, State and Territory Governments and Local Councils.

The ABCL introduced a dedicated juice division, Juice Australia [JA] (formerly Fruit Juice Australia), in 2009 and a dedicated water division, the Australasian Bottled Water Institute [ABWI], in 2011. Through these divisions, and various committees, our organisation and Members continue to adapt and flourish.

## Table of Contents

<b>About the Australian Beverages Council Limited.....</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>Background.....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>The Australian Beverages Council’s Position and Issues for Consideration.....</b>	<b>5</b>
Current Use of Steviol Glycosides .....	5
Call to Decrease Sugar in Sugar Sweetened Beverages.....	5
Need for Innovation in Low and No Sugar Non-Alcoholic Beverages .....	7
Technological Justification of Reb E.....	7
Support Reb E Specification.....	7
Support Use of Processing Aids for the Production of Reb E .....	8
Support Labelling .....	8
Support ADI for Steviol Glycosides .....	9
<b>Conclusion .....</b>	<b>10</b>

## Background

The ABCL makes the following submission relating to the assessment of an Application (A1183) by Food Standard Australia New Zealand [FSANZ] which seeks to include a new specification for the steviol glycoside rebaudioside E [Reb E], as an intense sweetener, produced by an enzymatic conversion method using an enzyme processing aid, derived from a genetically modified strain of *Pichia pastoris* (*P.pastoris*).

The purpose of the assessment carried out by FSANZ in relation to this Application was to:

- determine whether the proposed purpose is clearly stated and that Reb E achieves its technological function in the quantity and form proposed to be used as a food additive; and
- evaluate any potential public health and safety issues that may arise from the preparation of Reb E using the specified enzyme processing aid.

## The Australian Beverages Council's Position and Issues for Consideration

The ABCL, advocating on behalf of the non-alcoholic beverages industry in Australia, would like to indicate its strong support for the addition of the proposed novel production method for steviol glycosides produced using an enzyme processing aid derived from a genetically modified strain of *P. pastoris*. It is important to consider the following points in relation to the current Application.

### Current Use of Steviol Glycosides

The specific glycosides of rebaudiosides M and D (Reb MD) have been shown to be safe. Currently, Reb MD has US GRAS status and can be used as an intense sweetener to create low and no-sugar products with a maximum permitted level in a variety of food categories at GMP. The manufacturing process used to generate the Reb E is similar to the processes described in GRN No. 667 and 715 for rebaudiosides M and D, respectively.

The ABCL supports the inclusion of Reb E produced through this novel method as steviol glycoside with an INS number of 960 within the category of currently permitted foods as well as foods that will be approved in the future. It is important and relevant to this Application to emphasise the ABCL's Application (A1149 – Addition of steviol glycosides in fruit drinks) was recently approved by FSANZ. A1149 specifically seeks to allow steviol glycosides to be used to sweeten fruit drinks. FSANZ has now notified the Australia and New Zealand Ministerial Forum on Food Regulation of this decision.

Currently Reb E manufactured through the process detailed in A1183 is permitted in a number of overseas markets. This would allow Australia to become internationally competitive while encouraging an important agenda of product innovation within the non-alcoholic beverages industry.

### Call to Decrease Sugar in Sugar Sweetened Beverages

In recent years, both Australia and New Zealand have actively been working towards addressing the issue of rapidly increasing obesity rates and associated chronic disease. Sugar in the diet has been highlighted as a major contributor to obesity and chronic disease, and it is therefore incumbent on the regulator to consider safe and suitable alternatives to reduce energy intake derived from sugars.

Government's on both sides of the Tasman are proposing initiatives related to food, nutrition and health for the food industry to implement to improve the diet and health of Australians and New Zealanders. Safe developments in sweeteners, such as A1183, should be considered an important part of assisting manufacturers to support healthier diets in line with the Australian Dietary Guidelines.

Past and current Government initiatives that relate to sugar in the food supply include:

- a. Labelling Logic: The Review of Food Labelling Law and Policy (2011) (The Blewett Review) provided recommendations to improve food labelling law and policy. Recommendation 12 was to review the ingredient labelling of added sugars;
- b. Five-year review of the Health Star Rating system. Sugar has been raised as an issue to consider;
- c. Labelling of sugars on packaged foods and drinks consultation which is ongoing and under consideration by the Forum of Food Regulation;
- d. The Healthy Food Partnership looks at ways to improve nutrition status of Australians. The Reformulation Working Group recently released a consultation paper with specific targets for beverages to reduce sugar; and
- e. The Australian Senate Select Committee Inquiry into the obesity epidemic in Australia.

Many academics, non-government organisations, consumer advocacy groups and public health professionals are seeking a marked reduction in the sugar content of food and beverages, with sugar-sweetened beverages [SSBs] of particular note.

There is increasing pressure on the non-alcoholic beverage industry to innovate by:

1. Reformulation;
2. Product and portfolio renovation;
3. Introducing new products into the market; and
4. Making applications to FSANZ to permit important innovation to occur.

One of the core challenges for non-alcoholic beverage manufacturers is to innovate as described without compromising on taste.

The ABCL and its Members recognise the contribution of SSBs to sugar intake in Australia.

The ABCL has responded to this with the ABCL Sugar Reduction Pledge in which the non-alcoholic beverage industry has committed to a 20 per cent reduction in sugar across the industry's portfolio by 2025. To assist beverage manufacturers to achieve the pledge's goal,

the ABCL encourages and is actively seeking further innovation within the category, such as permitting the preparation and use of Reb E as detailed in A1183.

### **Need for Innovation in Low and No Sugar Non-Alcoholic Beverages**

ABCL Members require flexibility and opportunity to innovate and develop new variants. Only through this, will manufacturers be able to provide consumers with greater choice of high quality low and no sugar beverages.

Allowing the non-alcoholic beverage industry to use innovative sweeteners as a replacement for sugar, especially new plant based non-nutritive sweeteners, is vitally important as the industry has responded to consumer calls to reduce sugar in the food supply. This is also important to enable beverage manufacturers to work with public health policy authorities to achieve current initiatives and the industry's ambitious sugar reduction pledge.

### **Technological Justification of Reb E**

The currently approved methods for the creation of steviol glycosides produces different degrees of various glycosides. Reb E is a minor glycoside and present at much lower levels than other glycosides. The Application highlights that the intense sweetener produced through this method is primarily Reb E.

Reb E has been shown to have more favourable sensory characteristics compared to other major glycosides. This would allow non-alcoholic beverage manufacturers access to more favourable taste profiles which provide sweetness without compromising on taste or significantly increasing the amount of energy in the product.

### **Support Reb E Specification**

The Reb E produced by this novel enzymatic conversion method, using an enzyme processing aid derived from a genetically modified strain of *P. pastoris*, compares to the purity specification ( $\geq 85\%$  rebaudioside E;  $\geq 95\%$  total steviol glycosides) contained in Schedule 3 of the Food Standards Code (FSC).

Therefore the ABCL supports the addition of this method to Schedule 3 with the same specification as currently approved for steviol glycosides.

## Support Use of Processing Aids for the Production of Reb E

Enzymes used in food processing and manufacturing are considered processing aids as although they may be present in the final food, they no longer provide a technological purpose in the final food. Schedule 18 in the FSC lists enzymes of microbial origin that are permitted to be used as a processing aid, depending on whether a technological purpose has been specified.

The enzyme processing aid in Application A1183, UDP-glucosyltransferase (UGT-A), has been previously assessed by FSANZ and approved under Application A1157. This UGT-A fusion enzyme is listed in Schedule 18 of FSC as an approved processing aid for the conversion of purified stevia leaf extract to produce Reb M.

Therefore, ABCL supports the use of the enzyme processing aid UGT-A in this new method for the production of Reb E.

## Support Labelling

The ABCL supports FSANZ's decision to "*continue requiring the number 960 or name 'steviol glycosides' to be used in the statement of ingredients for all steviol glycosides*"<sup>1</sup>. This will allow the same labelling requirements as currently stands according to Standard 1.2.4 and for INS 960 to be used as stated in Schedule 8 without having to disclose the specifics regarding the processing method.

The ABCL appreciates the proposed changes to the Code is to the specification of the steviol glycosides as a food additive, and that the existing labelling requirements would apply. Consequently, the production method used under this application does not currently have a new INS number assigned and therefore would appropriately be INS 960.

The ABCL supports FSANZ future consideration of changing the INS number for steviol glycosides to discern steviol glycosides produced by different methods e.g. from plant (960a), fermentation (960b) and enzymatic (yet to be assigned) once the work by the Codex Committee on Food Additives has been completed.

The ABCL notes FSANZ's assessment that Reb E, produced by the method detailed in Application A1183, is not a food produced using gene technology as it is not derived from an

---

<sup>1</sup><https://www.foodstandards.gov.au/code/proposals/Documents/A1183%20Call%20for%20Submissions%20report.pdf?csf=1&e=iUVHCS>



organism that has been modified using gene technology. Although, the enzyme used in manufacturing has been generated using a microorganism modified using gene technology, any residual novel protein is unlikely to be present in a food for sale which contains steviol glycosides manufactured by this process.

Therefore, ABCL supports Reb E produced by this method detailed in Application A1183, not requiring 'genetically modified' labelling.

### **Support ADI for Steviol Glycosides**

Reb E produced from this novel process is chemically the same as Reb E extracted traditionally from Stevia and would follow the same metabolic pathway in humans as previous assessments in other steviol glycosides Applications. Recent opinions support the current ADI of 0 to 4 mg/kg body weight for steviol glycosides.

The ABCL supports the continued use of the current ADI.

## Conclusion

The ABCL, acting on behalf of the non-alcoholic refreshment beverages industry in Australia, **strongly supports** the proposed approach by FSANZ to Application A1183 Enzymatic production of rebaudioside E from stevia leaf extract, specifically:

1. Amending Schedule 18 to extend the use of the protein engineered enzyme UGT-A sourced from a genetically modified strain of *P.pastoris* to include production of rebaudioside E.
2. Allowing the same specification, usage and ADI as currently permitted for other steviol glycosides;
3. Allowing the same labelling requirements as other steviol glycosides in the use of INS 960;
4. Not requiring Reb E produced by this method to be labelled 'genetically modified'.

The ABCL would like to thank FSANZ for the opportunity to make a submission on Application A1183 Enzymatic production of rebaudioside E from stevia leaf extract.

### For further information:

To discuss this submission or any aspect contained therein, please contact:

██████████  
Technical and Regulatory Affairs Manager  
Australian Beverages Council

██████████

██████████